

Dear PLE Board Members,

As you are aware a petition has been circulated regarding the voting of the by-laws and covenant revisions. Here is a copy of the petition for your records.

I want to clarify some point that were written on the Official Facebook page.

Frist and foremost I want to express that anything in Patrick Crites e-mail was not the sentiments of the committee. The committee made sure to include only the events that occurred in the petition.

This committee has from the beginning only had the interest of the community in mind and want to make things as fair and transparent to everyone.

The committee never said the board refused to meet. As stated in the petition the committee met regularly and met the deadline imposed on ourselves in hopes to get the proposed revisions to the board with enough time to vote on at the annual meeting. This was the objective that was given to me by Dan Latham when he appointed me as the chairperson of the committee. As you know all members of the committee were approved by Dan Latham and 2 members of the current board (Rachel Haney and Dan Collins) were also on the committee. Each week all changes made were submitted to the committee (including Rachel Haney and Dan Collins) for review and once approved they were sent to the PLE e-mail to be published on the PLE website. This was almost always done within a week of the meeting.

As stated at the April and May board meetings the committees' revisions were intended to be the first draft. At the April Board meeting it was agreed that the board would meet with the committee to ask questions and make additional revisions. Once that was completed I, Jane Alexander, and a member of the board would meet with the attorney for the final review to ensure legality of the document.

After the Bylaws were submitted to the board in April, I sent several e-mails asking if the board had set a time to meet with the Committee. Rachel responded saying they were meeting to review and it was taking a little longer than they expected. Based on that information the committee was shocked to find out from Ron Schiaffo that when he questioned when the meeting would occur at the June board meeting, he was told the board did not intend to present any revisions at the annual meeting. In addition, I was told there were several derogatory remarks made about the committee by a board member present at the June meeting. Since no communication occurred from the board of what their exact intention were the 7 members of the committee that met for each meeting felt we had no option but to get the information out to the membership ourselves.

Rachel's public announcement via the PLE Facebook page did indicate that the board does intend to present the revisions at a later date but does not feel it can do it in time for the annual meeting. I respect that decision and hope that the original plan can move forward. My question is –why was this information not communicated to me and the committee prior to an announcement at a board meeting. In addition, nothing was communicated that you planned to move forward with a different timeline.

The intention of the petition is simply a way for the membership to see what revisions were recommended by the extensive work and time of the committee.

At this time this is a formal request to the board to provide a detailed timeline of when we can proceed with the original plan for review of the committee recommendations and when a meeting will be called to proceed with the voting on the bylaws and covenants that both the Committee and Board agree upon.

Respectfully submitted,



PLE Bylaws Board Appointed Chairperson

Jane Alexander